UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

FORM 8-K

CURRENT REPORT

Pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934

Date of Report (Date of earliest event reported): June 21, 2022

Allakos Inc.

(Exact name of Registrant as Specified in Its Charter)

Delaware (State or Other Jurisdiction of Incorporation)

825 Industrial Road, Suite 500 San Carlos, California

(Address of Principal Executive Offices)

001-38582 (Commission File Number) 45-4798831 (IRS Employer Identification No.)

> 94070 (Zip Code)

Registrant's Telephone Number, Including Area Code: 650 597-5002

(Former Name or Former Address, if Changed Since Last Report)

Check the appropriate box below if the Form 8-K filing is intended to simultaneously satisfy the filing obligation of the registrant under any of the following provisions:

□ Written communications pursuant to Rule 425 under the Securities Act (17 CFR 230.425)

□ Soliciting material pursuant to Rule 14a-12 under the Exchange Act (17 CFR 240.14a-12)

D Pre-commencement communications pursuant to Rule 14d-2(b) under the Exchange Act (17 CFR 240.14d-2(b))

D Pre-commencement communications pursuant to Rule 13e-4(c) under the Exchange Act (17 CFR 240.13e-4(c))

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

Title of each class	Trading Symbol(s)	Name of each exchange on which registered
Common Stock, par value \$0.001	ALLK	The Nasdaq Global Select Market

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is an emerging growth company as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act of 1933 (§ 230.405 of this chapter) or Rule 12b-2 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (§ 240.12b-2 of this chapter).

Emerging growth company \Box

If an emerging growth company, indicate by check mark if the registrant has elected not to use the extended transition period for complying with any new or revised financial accounting standards provided pursuant to Section 13(a) of the Exchange Act.

Item 8.01 Other Events.

On June 21, 2022, Allakos Inc. (the "Company") released an updated corporate presentation. A copy of the presentation is filed as Exhibit 99.1 to this Current Report on Form 8-K and is incorporated herein.

Item 9.01 Financial Statements and Exhibits.

(d) Exhibits

_

Exhibit Number	Description
99.1	Corporate Presentation dated June 21, 2022.
104	Cover Page Interactive Data File (embedded within the Inline XBRL document)

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

Allakos Inc.

Date: June 21, 2022

By: /s/ H. Baird Radford, III

H. Baird Radford, III Chief Financial Officer

Allakos

Corporate Presentation

June 2022

Developing Therapeutic Antibodies Targeting Allergic, Inflammatory and Proliferative Disease

Disclaimer

This presentation contains forward-looking statements. All statements of her than statements of historical fact contained in this presentation, including statements regarding the potential benefits, activity, effectiveness and safety of our product candidates; our expectations with regard to the initiation, design, timing and results of our clinical studies, preclinical studies and research and development programs, including the timing and availability of data from such studies; our preclinical, clinical and regulatory development plans for our product candidates; including the timing or likelihood of regulatory filings and approvals for our product candidates; the size of the market opportunity for our product candidates in the diseases we are targeting; and our expectations with regard to our ability to acquire, discover and develop additional product candidates and advance such product candidates into, and successfully complete, clinical studies, are forward-looking statements. Allakos has based these forward-looking statements on its estimates and assumptions and its current expectations and projections about future events. The words "anticipate," "believe," "conlinue," "could," "estimate," "axpect," "intend," "may," "plan," "potential," "predict, "project," "arget," "should," would," and similar expressions are intended to identify forwardlooking statements, and you should not place undue reliance on these forward-looking statements. The forward-looking statements included in this presentation speak only as of the date of this presentation and are subject to a number of risks, uncertainties, and assumptions, including, but not limited to: the Company's stages of clinical drug development; the Company's ability to obtain required regulatory approvals for the candidates; uncertainties related to the enrollment of patients in its clinical trials; the Company's ability to obtain required regulatory approvals for the second so of the size of patient populations suffering from some of the diseases the C

Accuracy of Data: This presentation contains statistical data based on independent industry publications or other publicly available information, as well as other information based on Allakos's internal sources. We have not independently verified the accuracy or completeness of the data contained in these industry publications and other publicly available information. Accordingly, Allakos makes no representations as to the accuracy or completeness of that data.

Additional Information: The Company has filed and will file Current Reports on Form 8-K, Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q, and Annual Reports on Form 10-K, and other documents with the SEC. You should read these documents for more complete information about the Company. You may get these documents for free by visiting EDGAR on the SEC website a

This presentation concerns products that are under clinical investigation and which have not yet been approved for marketing by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration. It is currently limited by federal law to investigational use, and no representation is made as to its safety or effectiveness for the purposes for which it is being investigated.



Novel Targets for the Treatment of Inflammatory Diseases

(Ų)			5	
Novel Biology	Focused on Suppressing Immune Cell Activation	Lirentelimab Active & Well Tolerated Molecule	AK006 Optimized for Mast Cell Inhibition	Significant Commercial Opportunity
Two antibodies with novel mechanisms of action	Target two key pathogenic cells shown to be highly relevant in Inflammation & Immunology	Lirentelimab (anti-Siglec-8) mAb that has potent eosinophil depletion and inhibits mast cells	AK006 (anti-Siglec-6) mAb that selectively and potently inhibits mast cells, including KIT-mediated signaling	Potential In Multiple Inflammation & Immunology Indications 1. Atopic Dermatitis 2. Chronic Urticaria 3. EGIDs 4. Asthma

Lirentelimab is an investigational medicine that is being studied for the treatment of EGIDs, atopic dermatitis, and chronic spontaneous urticaria. Its efficacy and safety risk profile have not been established and it has not been approved by the FDA or other health authority for any use.

Mast Cells and Eosinophils Are Key Drivers of Inflammatory Disease



Allakos Pipeline

antibody Program	Indication	Discovery	Preclinical	Phase 2	Phase 3	Registration	Milestone
	Atopic Dermatitis	-					Initiated 4Q 2021; Topline expected 2H 2023
Active Lirentelimab (AK002) Trials	Chronic Spontaneous Urticaria						Initiation mid-2022; Topline expected 2H 2023
	EoD: EoDyssey						Topline data expected Q3 2022
	EG and/or EoD: ENIGMA1						Completed 2019
	EG and/or EoD: ENIGMA2						Completed 2021
ompleted Lirentelimab	EoE: KRYPTOS	-			-1		Completed 2021
(AK002) Trials	Chronic Urticaria	1					Completed 2019
	Severe Allergic Conjunctivitis						Completed 2019
	Indolent Systemic Mastocytosis						Completed 2019
AK006 (Anti-Siglec-6)	Inflammatory Diseases						IND expected 1H 2023
AK007	Inflammation	÷					Ongoing
Undisclosed Target)	Immuno-Oncology	-					Ongoing

EG = Eosinophilic Gastritis; EoD = Eosinophilic Duodenitis; EoE = Eosinophilic Esophagitis

Significant Opportunity Exists to Treat Inflammation & Immunology

		Rheumatoid Arthritis	Psoriasis	Ulcerative Colitis	Crohn's Disease	Asthma	Atopic Dermatitis	Chronic Spontaneous Urticaria	Eosinophilic Gastrointestinal Disorders
	2021 Estimated WW Sales	~\$30 bn	~\$24 bn	~\$8 bn	~\$14 bn	~\$8 bn	~\$5 bn	~\$0.5 bn	TBD*
	U.S. Prevalence Moderate-to Severe	1 million	1.2 million	350 thousand	700 thousand	≥1 million	6.6 million	1.7 million	500 thousand – 2 million
	Market Maturity	Mature		More Mature		Less Mature		Immature	
	FDA Approved Agents by Target	TNF-0: 6 IL-6R: 3 JAK: 3 IL-1R: 1 CD20: 1 CD86: 1	TNF-a: 4 IL-17: 3 IL-23: 3 IL12/IL-23: 1 PDE-4: 1	<u>INF-a: 4</u> <u>a487: 1</u> JAK: 1 <u>IL12/IL-23: 1</u> S1P: 1	<u>INF-g: 4</u> g487: 2 IL12/IL-23: 1	I <u>QE: 1</u> IL-5: 3 IL-4/IL-13: 1 TSLP: 1	<u> _4/ 13: 1</u> <u> 13: 1</u>	<u>lgE: 1</u>	IL-4/IL-13: 1
								1	
							Lirentelin	nab Clinical D	evelopment
									Allokoo
2	he first EoE drug was approve	st in May 2022							Allakus

Lirentelimab: Siglec-8 mAb That Depletes Eosinophils and Inhibits Mast Cells



Lirentelimab: Siglec-8 mAb That Depletes Eosinophils and Inhibits Mast Cells



Lirentelimab shows eosinophil depletion and mast cell inhibition in humans:

 Rapid and complete depletion of eosinophils via ADCC
 Inhibition of mast cell activation via multiple stimuli including IL-33, TSLP, IgE, MRGPRX-2, TLR and others

Established human safety risk profile with both IV & SC options

First-in-class mechanism of action allows differentiation in multiple therapeutic areas

Development is focused on diseases with strong scientific rationale and significant market opportunities:

 Atopic Dermatilis, Chronic Spontaneous Urticaria, Eosinophilic Gastrointestinal Diseases, Asthma



Sustained Depletion of Blood Eosinophil Counts

Phase 2 ENIGMA1 Study and Open-Label Extension



SOURCE: Dellon ES, et al. New England Journal of Meticine. 2020;383:1624-1634. a. Blood eosinophils collected just prior to each infusion b. Inclusive of Lirentalimab exposure during the open-label portion of the Phase 2 ENIGMA 1 study

Lirentelimab Broadly Inhibits Mast Cell Activation



Lirentelimab for Atopic Dermatitis



Biopsies of Atopic Dermatitis Lesions Show Evidence of Mast Cell and Eosinophil Activity



SOURCE: Youngblood, BA et al JCI Insights 2019: 126219; Schanin, J et al Mucosal Immunology 2020: 366-376

Lirentelimab Reduced Clinically-Relevant Cytokines in Phase 1 Severe Allergic Conjunctivitis Study

Ocular Inflammation via Tear Cytokines



SOURCE: Anesi, S. et al. Journal of Allergy and Clinical Immunology 2022

Lirentelimab Improved Atopic Dermatitis Symptoms in ENIGMA2 and SAC Studies



SOURCE: ENIGHA2 data on file, Post-hoc exploratory analysis; SAC Study prospective analysis from Anesi, S. et al. Journal of Altergy and Clinical Immunology 2022

Phase 2 Atopic Dermatitis Study Design

- Study Design -

- Multi-center, randomized, DB, placebo-controlled
- Chronic disease that has been present ≥3 years
 EASI score ≥16
 - Involvement of ≥10% of body surface area
 - IGA score ≥3
 - Inadequate control by topical treatments
 - Includes patients with prior biologics treatment
- 130 adult patients (1:1 randomization)
 - 300 mg Q2W subcutaneous lirentelimab (n=65)
 - Placebo (n=65)

- Endpoints

Primary Endpoint

- Proportion of patients who achieve eczema area and severity index (EASI)-75 at week 14
- Key Secondary Endpoints
 - Percent change in EASI from baseline to week 14
 - Proportion of patients who achieve an IGA score of 0 or 1 AND a ≥2-point improvement in Investigator Global Assessment (IGA) at week 14

Allak

Atopic Dermatitis Landscape

Drug Name	MOA	EASI-75 Response	IGA Response	Opportunity
Dupixent [®] (Dupilumab)	Anti IL-4/IL-13R mAb	44 – 51% vs. 12 – 15% placebo ¹	36 – 38% vs. 9 – 10% placebo ¹	 >50% of patients continue to have symptoms Conjunctivitis in ~26%¹ Q2W dosing¹
Adbry™ (Tralokinumab)	Anti IL-13 mAb	25 – 33% vs. 10 – 13% placebo²	16 – 21% vs. 7 – 9% placebo ¹	 >50% of patients continue to have symptoms Conjunctivitis in ~10%² Q2W dosing²
Rinvoq® (Upadacitinib)	JAK Inhibitor	60 – 80% vs. 13 – 16% placebo ³	39% - 62% vs. 5% - 8% placebo ³	 Black box warnings for: major cardiac events, infections, malignancies³
Cibinqo [™] (Abrocitinib)	JAK Inhibitor	40 – 62% vs. 10 – 12% placebo ⁴	24% – 44% vs. 8% – 9% placebo ⁴	 Black box warnings for: major cardiac events, infections, malignancies⁴

SOURCE:1.) Halling A et al. J Am Acad Dermatol. 2021 Jan;84(1):139-147.2.) Adbry Label 3.) Rinvoq Label 4.) Cibinqo Label

Lirentelimab for Chronic Urticaria



Phase 2a Chronic Urticaria Study

Study Design -Open-label study in patients with Chronic Urticaria (CU) Uncontrolled CU (UCT <12) at the time of enrollment × Diagnosis of CU for at least three months, refractory to . antihistamine treatment on 1 to 4x labeled dosage 45 patients - 4 cohorts - Omalizumab-naïve Chronic Spontaneous Urticaria (CSU) - Omalizumab-refractory CSU - Cholinergic urticaria Symptomatic Dermographism -6 monthly doses . 0.3 mg/kg starting AK002 dose; increased to 1.0 mg/kg (dose 2 and 3); if UCT <12, increased to 3.0 mg/kg (dose 4, 5, and 6)

- Endpoints

Primary Endpoint

- Change in Urticaria Control Test (UCT) Week 22 from Baseline

Key Secondary Endpoints

- Change in UAS7 (for CSU patients)
- Safety and tolerability

SOURCE: Altrichter S et al. J Allergy Clin Immunol 2022

Symptom Improvement by UAS7 with Lirentelimab in CSU

Chronic Spontaneous Urticaria



UAS7 is a validated patient-reported outcome recording the intensity of pruntus (Weekly Itch Sevenity Score) and the number of wheals (Weekly Hives Sevenity Score); weekly score range is 0 to 21. UAS7 total scores range from 0 to 42, with lower scores representing fewer symptoms (UAS7 0 = no ltch or wheals; UAS7 42 = maximal ltch and wheals).

SOURCE:Altrichter S et al. J Allergy Clin Immunol 2022

Lirentelimab UAS7 Results in Patients with CSU

Endpoint	Baseline	Omalizumab Naïve _{Week 22}
Average UAS7 Score	18.5	4.6 (-75%)
Patients with UAS7 ≤ 6	0 (0%)	8/13 (62%)
Patients with UAS7 = 0	0 (0%)	7/13 (54%)
Patients with ISS7 = 0	0 (0%)	7/13 (54%)
Patients with HSS7 = 0	0 (0%)	10/13 (77%)

UAS7 is a validated patient-reported outcome recording the intensity of prunitus (Weekly Itch Severity Score) and the number of wheals (Weekly Hives Severity Score); weekly score range is 0 to 21. UAS7 total scores range from 0 to 42, with lower scores representing fewer symptoms (UAS7 0 = no ltch or wheals; UAS7 42 = maximal ltch and wheals).

SOURCE:Altrichter S et al. J Allergy Clin Immunol 2022

High UCT Response Rate Observed in Multiple Forms of Urticaria

Indication	UCT Baseline	UCT Complete Responders
Chronic Spontaneous Urticaria		
 Naïve (n=13) 	3.2	92%
 Xolair Refractory (n=7)¹ 	3.1	57%
Cholinergic Urticaria (n=11)	5.4	82%
Symptomatic Dermographism (n=10)	5.7	40%

Urticaria Control Test (UCT) is a validated 4-litem questionnaire that asks patients to retrospectively score four items, on a scale from 0 to 4, the impact of urticaria symptoms on morbidity, quality of life, quality of treatment, and overall disease control over the previous 4 Weeks. UCT ranges 0 to 16 (0=worst possible). UCT complete response: ≥3-point improvement from baseline and score ≥12.

 Xolair refractory patients who received all 6 doses SOURCE: Altrichter S et al. J Allergy Clin Immunol 2022; Altrichter S, et al. ACAAI 2019 Presentation

Safety Risk Profile Summary

- No drug-related serious adverse events observed
- Most common adverse event (AE) was mild to moderate infusion-related reactions (IRRs) (flushing, feeling of warmth, headache, nausea, or dizziness)
 - 36% IRRs rate on first infusion
 - 6% IRRs rate on subsequent infusions

SOURCE: Altrichter S et al. J Allergy Clin Immunol 2022

Phase 2b Chronic Spontaneous Urticaria Study

- Study Design -

- Multi-center, randomized, DB, placebo-controlled
- Active moderate-to-severe symptoms
- CSU diagnosis with onset ≥6 months prior to screening
- Diagnosis of CSU refractory to antihistamines
 - Presence of itch and hives despite current use of antihistamines
 - UAS7 score ≥16 and HSS7 score ≥8 at baseline
- Includes patients with prior biologics treatment
- 110 adult patients (1:1 randomization)
 - 300 mg Q2W subcutaneous lirentelimab (n=55)
 - Placebo (n=55)

Endpoints

- Primary Endpoint
 - Change from baseline in UAS7 at week 12
- Key Secondary Endpoints
 - Absolute change in ISS7
 - Absolute change in HSS7
 - Proportion of patients with UAS7=0

Chronic Spontaneous Urticaria Landscape

Drug Name	MOA	8	UAS7 Response Opportunity		UAS7 Response Opportunity		Opportunity
Xolair® (omalizumab)	Anti-IgE mAb	UAS7 UAS7=0	150 mg ¹ -14.4 (-48%) 15%	300 mg ¹ -20.8 (-66%) 36%	Placebo ¹ -8.0 (-26%) 9%		>50% of patients continue to have symptoms Black box for anaphylaxis ¹
Dupixent [®] (Dupilumab)	Anti IL-4/IL-13R mAb		-20.5 (-1 -12.0 (-379	65%) vs. %) placebo	2	:	Q2W dosing No improvement in Xolair failures ³
CDX-0159	Anti KIT mAb		т	3D		•	Impacts on spermatogenesis & hair color reported ⁴

SOURCE:1.) Xolair Label; UAS7 scores are calculated change from baseline and percentage change; 2.) Sanofi PR 7/29/21 3.) Sanofi PR 2/18/22 4.) Celldex Presentation 7/12/21

Lirentelimab for Severe Allergic Conjunctivitis



Severe Allergic Conjunctivitis Phase 1b Study

Open-label study in patients with SAC Diagnosis of AKC, VKC or PAC	Primary Endpoint
Average total ACS score of 15 or more from ≥14 daily questionnaires during 4-week screening 29 patients – 3 cohorts – Atopic keratoconjunctivitis – Vernal keratoconjunctivitis – Perennial allergic conjunctivitis 6 monthly doses 0.3 mg/kg starting dose, followed by 1.0 mg/kg then either 1.0 mg/kg or 3.0 mg/kg, based on symptoms	 Safety and tolerability Key Secondary Endpoints Allergic Conjunctivitis Symptom (ACS) PRO: Itching, photophobia, foreign body sensation, ocular pain, and lacrimation Ocular Symptom Score (OSS) Investigator assessment: Itching, redness, tearing, and chemosis Atopic comorbidities assessment: Atopic dermatitis, asthma, rhinitis

SOURCE: Anesi, S. et al. Journal of Allergy and Clinical Immunology 2022

Improvements in Allergic Conjunctivitis Signs & Symptoms



Improvements Observed Across Signs & Symptoms

	Symptom	Median % Δ from BL to Wk 21-22
	Itching	-74%
Allergic Conjunctivitis Symptom (ACS)	Light Sensitivity	-57%
	Eye Pain	-75%
Patient Reported - Daily	Foreign Body Sensation	-80%
	Watering Eyes	-76%
	Symptoms & Signs	Median % Δ from BL to Day 140
	Itching	-67%
Ocular Symptom Score (OSS)	Redness	-67%

Investigator Assessment - Monthly Tearing Chemosis

SOURCE: Anesi, S. et al. Journal of Allergy and Clinical Immunology 2022. Leonardi A, et al. EAACI 2020 Presentation.

-50%

-100%

29

Allakos

Severe Allergic Conjunctivitis Safety Risk Profile Summary

- No drug related serious adverse events (SAEs) observed
- Most common adverse event was mild to moderate infusion-related reactions (IRRs; flushing, feeling of warmth, headache, nausea, or dizziness)
 - 16.7% IRRs rate on first infusion
 - 0.7% IRRs rate on subsequent infusions

SOURCE: Anesi, S. et al. Journal of Allergy and Clinical Immunology 2022

Lirentelimab for Eosinophilic Gastrointestinal Disorders



Lirentelimab for Eosinophilic Gastrointestinal Disorders

- Eosinophilic Esophagitis (EoE) and Eosinophilic Gastritis and Eosinophilic Duodenitis (EG/EoD) are characterized by chronic inflammation of the gastrointestinal tract
- The Phase 2 study in EG and/or EoD (ENIGMA1) met all primary and secondary endpoints compared to placebo and was published in the New England Journal of Medicine¹
- The Phase 2/3 study in EoE (KRYPTOS) and Phase 3 study in EG and/or EoD (ENIGMA2) both achieved histologic co-primary endpoint but missed symptomatic co-primary endpoint
- The Phase 3 study in EoD (EoDyssey) is fully enrolled and will report topline data in 3Q 2022

```
1.) Deflon ES, et al. New England Journal of Medicine. 2020;383:1824-1634
```



EGID Biopsies Have Elevated and Activated Eosinophils & Mast Cells

Eosinophils and mast cells both appear to play a pathogenic role in EGIDs

Lirentelimab is a novel investigational therapy that directly targets eosinophils and mast cells



ENIGMA2 Phase 3 EG/EoD Study Design

Study Design -

- Multi-center, randomized, DB, placebo-controlled
- Active moderate to severe symptoms
- Biopsy confirmed EG and/or EoD
 - Stomach: ≥30 eos/high powered field (hpf) in 5 hpfs
 - Duodenum: ≥30 eos/hpf in 3 hpfs
- 180 adult patients (1:1 randomization)
 - Lirentelimab 1 + 3 + 3 + 3 + 3 + 3 mg/kg (n = 91)
 - Placebo (n = 89)
- 6 monthly doses
- Open-label extension

Endpoints

Histologic Co-Primary Endpoint

- Proportion of tissue histologic responders:
 - Stomach: ≤4 eos/hpf in 5 hpfs, and/or
 - Duodenum: ≤15 eos/hpf in 3 hpfs

Symptom Co-Primary Endpoint

Absolute change in patient reported TSS-6

Key Secondary Endpoints

- Percent change in TSS-6 from baseline
- Proportion of patients achieving ≥50% and ≥70% improvement in TSS-6

ENIGMA2 Phase 3: Co-Primary Endpoints



1.) Ecsinophil response criteria: S4 eoshpf in top 5 gastric hpfs and/or S15 eos/hpf in top 3 duodenal hpfs. 2.) TSS6 Symptoms: abdominal pain, nausea, early satiety, loss of appetite, bloating, abdominal cramping * Difference from placebo p-values <0.0011 derived using Fisher's Exact Test † LS Means derived from ANCOVA model

Patient Baseline Demographics & Characteristics: Site Comparison

Ph2 ENIGMA1 (E1)	Ph3 ENIGMA21		
n=65	E1 Sites n=81	Non-E1 Sites n=99	
40 (18-74)	45 (18-77)	40 (17-78)	
62% (40)	59% (48)	70% (69)	
54% (35)	27% (22)	20% (20)	
80% (52)	47% (38)	17% (17)	
3% (2)	31% (25)	44% (44)	
42% (27)	43% (35)	30% (30)	
17% (11)	14% (11)	5% (5)	
84 ± 52	70 ± 53	50 ± 25	
330 (160-720)	250 (170-665)	180 (110-290)	
141 (44-361)	72 (29-166)	58 (17-165)	
28 ± 12	29 ± 12	29 ± 11	
	Ph2 ENIGMA1 (E1) n=65 40 (18-74) 62% (40) 54% (35) 80% (52) 3% (2) 42% (27) 17% (11) 84 ± 52 330 (160-720) 141 (44-361) 28 ± 12	Ph2 ENIGMA1 (E1) Ph3 EN E1 Sites n=81 40 (18-74) 45 (18-77) 62% (40) 59% (48) 54% (35) 27% (22) 80% (52) 47% (38) 3% (2) 31% (25) 42% (27) 43% (35) 17% (11) 14% (11) 84 ± 52 70 ± 53 330 (160-720) 250 (170-665) 141 (44-361) 72 (29-166) 28 ± 12 29 ± 12	

1.) Retrospective sub-group analysis

Consistent Effects Observed in Patients from ENIGMA1 Sites **Post-Hoc Analysis**

Phase 2 ENIGMA (E1) Phase 3 ENIGMA2: E1 Site Pts 0 0 -4 -4 Change from BL Mean TSS6 -8 -8 -8.1 -8.0 -12 -12 -14.2 -16 -16 -16.6 *p<0.05 *p<0.05 -20 -20 Lirentelimab Placebo Lirentelimab Placebo (n=20) (n=20) (n=38) (n=34) BL TSS6 = 29.7 28.1 29.4 27.8

1.) Retrospective sub-group analysis: ENIGMA1: mean TSS6 change from BL to Weeks 13-14; ENIGMA2: mean TSS6 change from BL to Weeks 23-24. *LS Means and p-values derived from ANCOVA/MMRM models.

SOURCE: Dellon ES, et al. New England Journal of Medicine. 2020;383:1824-1634; ENIGMA2 data on file

Mean Change in TSS6 from Baseline at End of Treatment¹



ENIGMA2 Safety Risk Profile Summary

Treatment-Emergent AEs in ≥5% of Patients1

n (%) of Patients	Lirentelimab (n=91)	Placebo (n=89)	
≥1 Treatment-Emergent Adverse Event (TEAE)	65 (71.4%)	57 (64.0%)	
Infusion related reaction	31 (34.1%)	12 (13.5%)	
Fatigue	5 (5.5%)	1 (1.1%)	

No drug-related Serious AEs

 Safety risk profile overall was consistent with previously reported safety risk profile in ENIGMA1 and other lirentelimab studies to date

1.) Safety summary during the randomized phase of the study



KRYPTOS Phase 2/3 EoE Study Design

- Study Design -Multi-center, randomized, DB, placebo-controlled Active moderate to severe symptoms . Dysphagia Symptom Questionnaire (DSQ) ≥12 Biopsy confirmed EoE Esophagus: ≥15 eos/high power field (hpf) in 1 hpf 276 patients dosed (1:1:1 randomization) High dose lirentelimab 1 + 3 + 3 + 3 + 3 + 3 mg/kg (n=91) – Low dose lirentelimab 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 mg/kg (n=93) - Placebo (n=92) 6 monthly doses . Included adolescents (ages 12-17)

Open-label extension ٠

Endpoints

Histologic Co-Primary Endpoint

- Proportion of tissue eosinophil responders: Esophagus: ≤6 eos/hpf in peak hpf
- Symptom Co-Primary Endpoint
 - Absolute change in Dysphagia Symptom Questionnaire (DSQ) score

Secondary Endpoints

Percent change in DSQ from baseline

Other Analyses of Interest

- Activity in adolescents
- Open-label extension

KRYPTOS Phase 2/3: Co-Primary Endpoints



Adolescents Demographics and Patient Characteristics

	Liren Pha NCT04	telimab se 2/3 4322708	Dupilumab Phase 3 Part A ¹ NCT03633617	Dupilumab Phase 3 Part B ² NCT03633617	
Patient Characteristics	Overall (n=276)	Adolescents (n=51)	Total (n=81)	Total ^a (n=159)	
Age, years, mean ± SD	33 ± 15	15 ± 2	31.5 ± 14	28 ± 13	
Female sex, % (n)	37% (103)	22% (11)	40% (32)	32% (51)	
Duration of EoE, years, mean ± SD	6.2 ± 6.5	6 ± 4	5.0 ± 4.4	5.4 ± 4.6	
History of atopy ^b , % (n)	75% (208)	88% (45)	84% (68)	88% (140)	
History of swallowed topical steroid for $EoE^{c},\%$ (n)	26% (71)	51% (26)	74% (60)	70% (111)	
PPI use ^c , % (n)	69% (191)	94% (48)	100% (81)	100% (159)	
Food elimination diet at screening, % (n)	11% (30)	24% (12)	41% (33)	38% (60)	
Peak esophageal eosinophil counts/hpf, mean ± SD	60 ± 34	68 ± 32	89 ± 48	87 ± 44	
Peripheral blood eos cells/µL, median (IQR) mean ± SD	300 (210 - 460) 357 ± 227	395 (252.5 - 635) 467 ± 285		400 (300 - 500)	
Serum IgE, kU/L, median (IQR) mean ± SD	96 (39 - 275) 260 ± 462	213 (98 - 535) 513 ± 807	105 (50 - 350) _	130 (50 - 350)	
Baseline DSQ [0-84], mean ± SD	35 ± 12	35 ± 13	34 ± 12	37 ± 11	

SOURCE: 1, Dellon ES, et al. Presentation at UEGW 2020; 2. Rothenberg ME, et al. Presentation at AAAAI 2022. A. Pooled data were estimated based on the data by arm presented; B. Asthma, allergic rhinitis, atopic dermatitis and/or food allergy; C. Lirentelimab Phase 2/3 study adolescent prior treatment data were collected post-hoc from chart reviews; Dupilumab PPI data inferred based on their study protocol

Greater Activity Observed in Adolescents

Pre-specified Analysis



* LS Means and HD lirentelimab from placebo p-values derived from ANCOVA model; Observed data

KRYPTOS Safety Risk Profile Summary

Treatment-Emergent AEs in ≥5% of Patients1

n (%) of Patients	HD Lirentelimab (n=91)	LD Lirentelimab (n=93)	Placebo (n=92)
≥1 Treatment-Emergent Adverse Event (TEAE)	61 (67.0%)	65 (69.9%)	53 (57.6%)
Infusion related reaction	35 (38.5%)	24 (25.8%)	11 (12.0%)
Headache	6 (6.6%)	8 (8.6%)	6 (6.5%)

Drug-related Serious AEs: 2 patients on HD lirentelimab, 1 patient on Placebo

 Safety risk profile overall was consistent with previously reported safety risk profile in ENIGMA and other lirentelimab studies to date

1.) Safety summary during the randomized phase of the study

EoD Phase 3 Study Design

Study Design

- Multi-center, randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled study in EoD
- Active moderate to severe symptoms
- Biopsy confirmed EoD ± colonic involvement
 - Duodenum: ≥30 eos/hpf in 3 hpfs
 - Stomach: <30 eos/high powered field (hpf) in 5 hpfs (Do NOT have EG)
 - Colonic involvement assessed by colonoscopy: biopsies collected from terminal ileum, colon (ascending, transverse, descending, sigmoid) and rectum
- 93 adult patients (1:1 randomization)
 - 6 monthly doses 3.0 mg/kg lirentelimab
 - Placebo

Endpoints

- Histologic Co-Primary Endpoint
 - Proportion of responders:
 - Duodenum: ≤ 15 eos/hpf in 3 hpfs
- Symptom Co-Primary Endpoint
 - Absolute change in patient reported TSS-6
- Key Secondary Endpoints
 - Percent change in tissue eosinophil counts
 - Treatment responders: patients who achieve tissue eosinophil thresholds AND >30% improvement in TSS
 - Exploratory: change in colonic eosinophil counts

AK006: Siglec-6 mAb that Selectively and Potently Inhibits Mast Cells



AK006: Siglec-6 mAb That Inhibits and Depletes Mast Cells



AK006 is a humanized IgG1 agonistic Siglec-6 mAb that selectively inhibits mast cells and reduces their numbers:

Represents the first mast cell-specific antibody in development

- Inhibition of both IgE-dependent and IgE-independent mast cell activation
 - Reduction of mast cells via Fc-dependent mechanism

First-in-human study planned 1H 2023



AK006 Shows High Association with Inhibitory Molecules



AK006 Inhibits Mast Cell Activation in Human Tissues



AK006 Inhibits KIT-Mediated Mast Cell Activation in Siglec-6 Transgenic Mice



AK006 Reduces IL-33-Mediated Skin Inflammation in Siglec-6 Transgenic Mice



AK006 Inhibits Allergic Contact Dermatitis in Siglec-6 Transgenic Mice



Financial Overview & Key Milestones



Balance Sheet and Significant IP Protection

Expected Cash Runway into Q1 2024

Cash, Cash Equivalents and investments in Marketable Securities as of March 31, 2021	\$246.7 W
Common Shares Outstanding	54.7 M



First Lirentelimab US patents to expire in 2035 without extensions or additional IP



Commercial manufacturing already established for subcutaneous lirentelimab



Allakos Pipeline

Antibody Program	Indication	Discovery	Preclinical	Phase 2	Phase 3	Registration	Milestone
Active Lirentelimab (AK002) Trials	Atopic Dermatitis						Initiated 4Q 2021; Topline expected 2H 2023
	Chronic Spontaneous Urticaria						Initiation mid-2022; Topline expected 2H 2023
	EoD: EoDyssey	<u></u>					Topline data expected Q3 2022
Completed Lirentelimab (AK002) Trials	EG and/or EoD: ENIGMA1	÷					Completed 2019
	EG and/or EoD: ENIGMA2						Completed 2021
	EoE: KRYPTOS	-					Completed 2021
	Chronic Urticaria	-					Completed 2019
	Severe Allergic Conjunctivitis						Completed 2019
	Indolent Systemic Mastocytosis						Completed 2019
AK006 (Anti-Siglec-6)	Inflammatory Diseases						IND expected 1H 2023
AK007	Inflammation						Ongoing
Undisclosed Target)	Immuno-Oncology	5					Ongoing

EG = Eosinophilic Gastritis; EoD = Eosinophilic Duodenitis; EoE = Eosinophilic Esophagitis